
3rd ENTSO-E Stakeholder Meeting with DSO Technical Expert Group

on Load-Frequency Control & Reserves Network Code (LFC&R NC)

11:00 h – 13:00 h 13th February 2013

As Webview Meeting

MINUTES

Participants:

Thomas Aundrup	Dipl.-Ing. Thomas Aundrup
Florian Chapalain	EDSO
Giovanni Valtorta	Enel Distribuzione S.p.A
Graeme Vincent	Scottish Power Plc
Uros Gabrijel	Acer
Javier Meco	ENDESA
Jorge Tello Guijarro	Gas Natural Fenosa
Juan Gonzalez Lara	ENDESA
Lars Lohrberg	RheinEnergie
Marc Malbrancke	Inter Regies
Vaclav Janousek	CEZ distribuce
Pavla Mandatova	EURELECTRIC
Frank Reyer	Amprion, LFC&R DT Convenor
Esther van Wanrooij	Tennet BV
Jan Volt	Elia
Miguel de la Torre	REE
Andreas Walczuch	Amprion
Steve Heather	ENTSOE

AGENDA

<i>Dial In & registering</i>		
1	Welcome and Introduction	Frank Reyer <i>LFC&R NC DT Convenor</i>
2	DSOs TEG view, Discussions	Workshop participants
3	Summing up and next Steps	Frank Reyer
<i>End of Workshop</i>		

1. Welcome and Introduction

Frank Reyer, LFC&R DT convener, welcomed everyone. He gave a recap on the previous meeting in September, and subsequent teleconference in November. Wording had been proposed to the DSO TEG which after circulation and an opportunity for comment had been put into the network code. The code had been issued for public comment to stakeholders for public comment on 1st February 2013.

2. DSO TEG Comments

It was noted that the article on compliance had been deleted
 There were concerns regarding Article 4 and cost recovery. The word in this code was different compared to the other codes. Frank explained that the wording was that advised by the Legal and Regulatory group at the time and had subsequently been changed after the current draft issue of the code. Future versions would have the new text as in the other system operation codes.
 The general clause on NRA involvement was an issue and the DSO TEG believed it was inappropriate in the Article 52, as the right for the DSO to object limits could be not feasible.,
 Regarding paragraph 52.2 and its application to DSOs; the timescales of 1 month for objections was too short for a challenge by a reserve provider. Legal advice would be sought to improve the wording of this paragraph with respect to DSOs.
 The links with the Electricity Balancing code was discussed and how the codes should support the DSO side especially with respect to aggregation of small units and congestion in distribution grid. The clarity of the wording needed to be improved regarding reserve providers in the DSO network which are aggregated groups. The validation of providers, especially regard to individual units, needs to go into more detail especially regarding the permission of the DSOs. Further work is needed on how to resolve congestion close to real time. The wording in Operational Security Network Code is not detailed enough and does not consider congestion relief in the DSO grid. The wording also needs to cover congestion and aggregation. There is a real time requirement for DSOs to be able to restrict not all but some of the aggregated reserve providers. A new paragraph will be added to be more specific regarding restrictions by DSOs.
 A question was asked regarding definitions and it was confirmed that an ENTSOE Metadata Repository (EMR) was in the process of being set-up which would be a focal point for all definitions. Users would be able to log in similar for the Extranet
 The status was asked about the Vision Document on how the codes would come together and be implemented especially Reserves and Balancing. The document is at the internal review stage in ENTSOE.

3. Summing Up and Next Steps

The LFC&R drafting team would after listening to the comments today propose some new wording for Article 52 by 22nd February the latest. It was confirmed that Article 4 will be the same wording as the other System Operation codes.

A doodle would be issued for a suitable date for a teleconference to be held in the second half of March. The proposed wording would then be discussed.