

Minutes of Meeting
Drafting Team on Demand Connection Code (DT DCC)
DSO Technical Expert Group (DSO TEG)

Date: 7 December 2011
Time: 13h00 – 17h00
Place: Düsseldorf airport

Participants

Name	Affiliation	present	excused
<i>DT DCC</i>			
Gianluca Albanese	Terna		X
Stephanie Bieth	RTE		X
Anders Danell	SVK	X	
Roberto Gnudi	Terna		X
Edwin Haesen	ENTSO-E	X	
Bastian Homburg	Amprion	X	
Kees Jansen	Tennet	X	
Klančnik Jurij	ELES		X
Mikko Koskinen	Fingrid	X	
João Moreira	REN	X	
Mark Norton	EirGrid	X	
Sergio Pasero Ruiz	REE	X	
Dwayne Shann	National Grid	X	
Guillemette Smadja	Elia / LRG		X
Helge Urdal	National Grid		X
<i>DSO TEG</i>			
Alberto Ceretti	Eurelectric DSO/Enel Distribuzione		X
Falk Engelmann	CEDEC/VKU		X
Bruno Gouverneur	Eurelectric DSO/Synergrid	X	
Mike Kay	Geode/ENWL		X
Tony Hearne	Eurelectric DSO/ESB Networks		X
Riccardo Lama	Eurelectric DSO/Enel Distribuzione	X	
Johan Lundqvist	Geode/Svenskenergi	X	
Marc Malbrancke	CEDEC/Inter-Regies	X	
Pavla Mandatova	Eurelectric DSO	X	
Jacques Merley	Eurelectric DSO/ERDF	X	
Sylvia Michel	Geode/Svenskenergi		X
Herman Poelman	CEDEC/Alliander	X	
Graeme Vincent	Eurelectric DSO/Scottish Power	X	
Walter Schaffer	Geode/Salzburgnetz		X
Pierre Schlosser	Eurelectric DSO		X
Siegfried Wanzek	Eurelectric DSO/E.ON-Energie	X	

1. Agenda

The proposed agenda communicated before the meeting:

- | | |
|----------------|--|
| 13:00-15:15hrs | Discussion on drafted text (continued) |
| 15:15-15:30hrs | Coffee break |
| 15:30-16:30hrs | Proposal on 'Types' and requirements applicable to these 'types' |
| 16:30-17:00hrs | Agree actions and next dates, and DSO/TSO work programme |

The DSO TEG requests in addition some feedback on the meeting the DT had with representatives of CENELEC working under Mandate 490 on 05/12/2011:

- The outcome of the discussion was generally positive and constructive.
- There is a common vision on how demand response can be dealt with standards complementing functional requirements of a European network code.
- There was an agreement on the principles for the DCC that were previously already agreed on by the DSO TEG.

The DSO TEG requests to participate in a follow-up meeting with CENELEC/M490. The DT will take this approach of a stakeholder group meeting into consideration.

2. Review text

The draft code is reviewed, starting at the point where the meeting of 29 November ended.

Derogations

Both agree that DSO-DSO connections will not be considered, only transmission connected demand facilities and DSO connections.

Clarification that a DSO can also request derogations.

Clarification that any new user should have the possibility to request a derogation. The DSO TEG has a concern of the possible huge number of requests.

Can it be guaranteed that within the same country (with multiple SOs) the same standards/practices are respected for the derogation evaluation? → The NC presently states that the process should be non-biased and non-discriminatory. → A legal check will be done to see if this can be made more explicit.

In case a NC requirement is translated into national legislation/codes and a user wants to request a derogation for this requirement, should a derogation be requested for the national provision or the original NC requirement/principle? → will be checked by legal

The DSO TEG notes that it appears the article is covering the entire distribution grid. As it refers to compliance with provisions of the NC, it can only refer to the connection point. → The article is adapted to state this more clearly.

The DSO TEG asks why Titles do not come into application gradually? → For all Titles the maximum period of three years that is allowed within the FWGL's is taken

3. Next steps

- The DSO TEG sends any further comments on the latest draft code by 14/12/2011

Future dates that are provisionally set

So far the work has been in line with the work program agreed on in July 2011.

4. Proposal on categorization of users in DCC

The DT proposes no explicit categorization of users (cfr. the Type A, B, C, D in NC RfG) since the DCC articles already reflect the users they apply to

→ The DSO TEG agrees on this approach.

5. Review compliance testing part

The DSO TEG notes that the last phrase implicates that one should remain compliant continuously. This is not possible or very complex due to changing regulation. → The clause is rephrased. A legal check will be done on this clause.

The DSO TEG notes that the phrase of 'compliance with national legislation' should be clarified that it refers to legislation derived from this NC. → The clause is adapted. Note: A similar clause appears in NC RfG, this will be checked.

The term MDPTC is used which is not favored by the DSO TEG. The question to the DSO TEG (cfr meeting of 29/11/2011) for an alternative term is still open.