

Response ID ANON-78DT-5GU2-A

Submitted to **Mid-term Adequacy Forecast 2018**

Submitted on **2018-11-08 12:11:00**

Introduction

1 What is your name?

Name:

Zuzana Mjartanová

2 What is your email address?

Email:

zuzana.mjartanova@cez.cz

3 What is your organisation?

Organisation:

■EZ, a.s.

Consultation questions

4 What is your opinion on the MAF2018 outcomes and, especially, on the low-carbon sensitivity analysis?

Opinion on the MAF2018 :

We welcome the public consultation of the new MAF, especially since the methodology is improving with every iteration. We particularly welcome the addition of a FBMC scenario, which corresponds better to current situation, as well as a more detailed information of the powerplant characteristics.

On the other hand, we wish there was even more transparency, particularly with respect to model inputs and outputs. Specifically, the following would be appreciated

- Detailed split of NGCs by type, e.g. split Hardcoal into the sub-types old 1, old 2, New, CCS etc.
- Explanation of NTC changes between 2020 and 2025 (the decreases in NTC on the following borders are somewhat surprising: AL-RS, BG-RS, PLE-CZ, particularly the last one)
- Fuel and CO2 prices (included in MAF2016)
- Hourly demand data (included in MAF216)
- Information about the flexibility of hydro units as well as inflows/energy assumed within each hydro scenario (dry, wet or normal hydro conditions)
- Information about the FBMC implementation (e.g. representative historical FB domains and their correlation to expected climate and consumption conditions)
- Average power prices, net interchanges and generation levels.

5 From your perspective, which would be the most relevant and useful additional methodological improvements or insights for the future MAFs? Please explain in line with the specific needs of your field of activity.

Additional Improvements:

6 Would you find it beneficial to define a common reliability target – or range - (e.g. LOLE 3 or 5 or h/y) to be used in MAF as a reference? Which reliability target should be used in MAF as a reference?

Reliability Standard:

7 Please tell us below if you have additional suggestions or comment?

Other suggestions: