

## **Summary of Consultation Process and Responses**

### ENTSO-E 2014-2015 Work Programme

#### **1. Introduction**

This paper describes the ENTSO-E consultation process in development of the ENTSO-E Annual Work Programme through December 2015 and summarises the responses received during the consultation period. The information regarding the consultation process is described immediately below and followed by a summary of the views received from the respondents. The appendix to this document contains the remarks received and indicates how the remarks have been taken into consideration in preparing the final version of the Work Programme 2014-2015 to be submitted to ACER for its Opinion.

#### **2. Consultation Process**

On 1 July 2014 the ENTSO-E Assembly approved the release for consultation of the ENTSO-E Annual Work Programme for the period 2014 through to December 2015. On 4 July the consultation process was launched on the ENTSO-E website and this was supported by a media release. The consultation closed on 29 August.

ENTSO-E received four replies in response to the consultation on the Work Programme 2014-2015. The respondents were European Wind Energy Association (EWEA), Wäertsilä Corporation, The European Federation of Energy Traders (EFET) and a joint submission from the four Brussels-based DSO associations (Eurelectric DSO, GEODE, CEDEC and EDSO4SG). One response was received after the closing date. All observations and recommendations were evaluated and where appropriate amendments to the Work Programme were made. In general, stakeholders used the consultation as an opportunity to make more general remarks about ENTSO-E's work rather than focussing their feedback on specificities of the Work Programme with many comments focussing on market design issues. The ENTSO-E teams reviewing these comments provided feedback to each observation and where considered appropriate altered the programme. The work programme initially released in July was also updated to reflect the most recent developments.

An overview of the submissions and ENTSO-E's reaction to these submissions is set out below, while Appendix 1 contains an analysis of all the opinions received, and on how these opinions were taken into account, and why others were not taken into account in the Work Programme submission.

The ENTSO-E Board considered the summary of views received, noted how each observation had been taken into account, or why the observation had not been taken into account and made editing adjustments where appropriate. On 27 October 2014 the ENTSO-E Board approved the Work Programme for submission to ACER on the explicit delegated authority of the ENTSO-E Assembly.

#### **3. General Comments of Respondents**

The majority of comments received concentrated on three main themes:

## **Network Code Development & Implementation**

In general, respondents focussed their comments on the development process and implementation of network codes. In particular, respondents raised the need for in-depth analyses and studies, coupled with thorough stakeholder interaction, to set the basis for the development of new codes and the requirements therein. Respondents were also cognizant that implementation would become a challenge in the near future and therefore called on ENTSO-E to maintain the close involvement of all stakeholders throughout the implementation process.

## **Market Design**

Several respondents commented on the Work Programme's activities on market design. Two respondents encouraged ENTSO-E to intensify its work on market design – particularly within the medium and longer term time frames – to complement the ongoing work on electricity balancing pilot projects and the implementation of the EU wide Target Model for electricity markets.

## **Integration of Renewable Energy Sources (RES)**

Several stakeholder submissions addressed the challenges stemming from the need to integrate RES into the electricity system. Beyond market design, respondents flagged system development and system operation issues which would require closer attention from ENTSO-E. On the former, methodologies for both the ten year network development plan (TYNDP) and Scenario Outlook and Adequacy Forecast (SOAF) documents were identified as requiring further development, while for system operations responses focussed on the need for enhanced coordination and cooperation between TSOs and as well as between TSOs and DSOs.