

Consistency of CACM35, CACM74, SO75 and SO76 methodologies

S075			
Торіс	S076	CACM35	CACM74
Operational security analysis	\checkmark		
Coordination of remedial actions	\checkmark	√ (RD CT only)	
Cost sharing of remedial actions	\checkmark		√ (RD CT only)

- SO75 (EU): Methodology for coordinating operational security analysis
- SO76 (CCR): Common provisions for regional operational security coordination
- CACM35 (CCR): Methodology for coordinated redispatching and countertrading
- CACM74 (CCR): Methodology for redispatching and countertrading cost sharing



The problem

- Each CCR needs to develop CACM35, CACM74 and SO76 methodology
- These three methodologies describe the same/single process, but...
 - ...scope of the methodologies is only partially overlapping (all remedial actions vs. RD CT only)
 - ...methodologies are developed and approved at different times

• . . .

- It is difficult to ensure consistent approach to define a consistent coordination process chain:
 - Operational security analysis
 - Coordination of remedial actions
 - Cost sharing of remedial actions



Proposed solution

Final outcome:

- SO76 is expected to have three main chapters:
 - CH1: Operational security analysis
 - CH2: Coordination of remedial actions
 - CH3: Cost sharing of remedial actions
- CACM 35 should essentially be equal to CH2 of SO76 but limited to RDCT only
- CACM 74 should essentially be equal to CH3 of SO76 but limited to RDCT only
- After SO76 is approved, the CACM can be amended to delete requirements on CACM 35 and CACM 74 to remove duplication



Two approaches to DA and ID congestion management

• Two forces/views have emerged on how to manage congestions that are not addressed with capacity calculation and allocation:

1. Fully coordinated and common approach:

- (a) After SDAC/SIDC all congestions are identified in a coordinated way
- (b) All (except some local) congestions are addressed with coordinated remedial actions

2. <u>Two-step approach anticipating internal congestions:</u>

- (a) After SDAC/SIDC foreseeable congestions are identified by each TSO individually and each TSO individually addresses its structural congestions (i.e. loop flows and internal congestions)
- (b) Subsequently congestion-free individual models are merged and the remaining congestions are addressed with regional coordinated remedial actions



Two approaches to DA and ID congestion management

Fully coordinated and common approach

- Re-dispatching cost-efficient and optimal from the regional perspective
- Extensive and complex coordination and optimisation
- Requires fair cost sharing rules

• Two-step approach:

- Simpler regional requirements on coordination and optimisation
- Huch simpler cost sharing rules (fairness addressed in the first step)
- Less market distortion, anticipation of slow units
 - Complex rules to individually address structural congestions (loop flows and internal flows)
- Difficult to divide between individual and regional remedial actions
 - Suboptimal: individual TSO actions may be counter-productive