Market participants' view on the TSOs' CCM proposals

CACM Recital 3: This Regulation sets out minimum harmonised rules for the ultimately single day-ahead and intraday coupling, in order to provide a <u>clear legal framework</u> for an <u>efficient and modern capacity allocation</u> and congestion management system, <u>facilitating Union-wide trade in electricity</u>, allowing <u>more efficient use of the network</u> and increasing competition, for the benefit of consumers

The TSOs' proposals generally fail these objectives:

- Most of the CCMs lack the level of detail expected from a methodology: the proposals describe the "what", but not the "how".
- The lack of transparency on the methodologies that will be applied in the end leaves market participants in the dark about the way the network will be operated.
- The various CCMs seem to have been written in isolation without describing the required overall optimisation of the European Grid.
- Some elements simply do not comply with CACM provisions.





Market participants' recommendations to NRAs

Market participants and NRAs should not sign a blank cheque to TSOs on the CCMs

The final CCMs should contain:

- **Binding details on all the elements** that will be used in the methodologies and how they will be used, e.g. selection of CNECs in CORE CCM.
- Proper justification for deviations by individual TSOs from the methodologies.
- Full compliance with the CACM GL.
- **Due consideration of the interdependencies** of the various CCMs.
- Implementation of best practices used in certain CCMs in all the other CCMs, e.g. mandatory consideration of costly remedial actions in IU CCM.



