




Pascale Fonck

ESC Market

Brussels

29 September, 2015

The process of the CCRs' proposal development



- 13 November - Submission of the proposal by each TSO to each NRAs
 - If there is an All TSOs proposal → ok
 - If no All TSOs proposal → TSOs to submit draft proposal with explanation on non agreement → ACER and NRAs make a recommendation to the EC who takes appropriate steps in 4 M to make possible to adopt CCRs. (Art 9.4)
- Approval / non approval / no consensus between NRAs
 - If approval: CCRs determined → **The Regional work starts**
 - If no approval: amendments proposed by All NRAs - All TSOs have 2 months to resubmit the new proposal to All NRAs. All NRAs have 2 months to approve (Art 9.12)
 - If no consensus by NRAs: ACER decides (Art 9.11)

Main feedback from the public WS

Message from stakeholders: We need to be quick and ambitious – strengthen coordination between TSOs and improve calculation process

Questions regarding the process

Role of ENTSO-E: ENTSO-E is facilitating the work for all TSOs. TSOs shall do a proposal in a coordinated way

Role of ACER: ACER will also facilitate the work for the NRAs. NRAs decide also in a coordinated way.

Questions regarding the German/Austrian border and the relation with the BZ study

The ACER opinion will be considered as an input to the work when preparing the final proposal.

What would happen if the BZ Study conclusions refer to different BZ borders than the ones considered for establishing the proposal on CCRs? CACM gives the possibility to amend any proposal by All TSOs or All NRAs.

Questions regarding the involvement of non EU countries

General welcome from stakeholders to involve non EU countries. Due to legal limitations, the proposal is splitted among: main body, and Annexes. However All TSOS / ENTSO-E intend to work as much as possible as in the Annex 1.

Main feedback from the public WS

Questions regarding the timeline and going for larger Regions

- Small regions that will have to coordinate between them will mean additional layers that could conclude in less efficient process. Examples cited include Hansa/Nordic, Chanel/IU and CEE/CWE. Having CEE/CWE, Hansa/Nordic and Chanel/IU is needed to accomplish deadlines in CACM. These Regions will evolve and probably merge in the future → Proposal from stakeholders: **create an Annex 3: the future** – timeline and evolution of regions – ENTSO-E takes note.

Question regarding the relation to areas defined for other codes

CCRs are used only FCA and operational codes. For other codes, as balancing areas, CCRs will not be used as they are for different purposes.

Questions regarding the link between CCRs – capacity calculator . It is possible to have one calculator for 2 CCRs. Stakeholders ask for more information about this in the proposal. ENTSO-E takes note, but it could be too early in the process. We will provide with more information about this later.

Questions regarding the optimal use of the transmission system: indicators will be developed by All TSOs as requested by the CACM GL to assess the efficiency

Specific questions to Regions:

- Romania-Hungarian border in SEE/CEE Region: 2 steps approach
- SWE as part of CWE? → will apply different CC methodology (SWE radial network so NTC) so there will be 2 CCRs

entsoe



Reliable Sustainable Connected